Montana DEQ - Waste Management and Remediation Division Data Validation Summary Form (Version 1.3.0, Revised 1/26/18)

Please fill out the information below, using one form for each lab batch (one form can be used for multiple analytical methods). The form will grow and adjust, based on your responses. Please include a discussion regarding the sampling event in the report that is sent to DEQ with this form. For additional instructions, please click the Open Complete Instructions button.

View example (Note: example optimized for viewing in Chrome **Basic Questions** browser) 1. Site/Facility name 2. Site code or facility ID (if applicable) 3. Release ID (if applicable) 4. Sample delivery group 5. Name of DEQapproved sampling blan 6. Date DEQ M/D/YY approved the sampling plan 7. Name of data validator 8. Phone 9. Date validated M/D/YY View example (Note: example optimized for viewing in Chrome **Field Collection Questions** prowser) 10. Sample matrix ☐ Surface water ☐ Groundwater Soil Sediment Air (including soil gas) Other Tap water 11. Sample collection M/D/YY start date 12. Sample collection M/D/YY end date 13. Analytical methods Add Method Analytical Method(s) used Use Add Method **Delete Method** button to list multiple methods. Enter any other methods in the field manually. **Laboratory-related Questions** View example (Note: example optimized for viewing in Chrome browser) 14. Laboratory name and location 15. Laboratory project 16. Were samples received in See Below Comments good condition and at appropriate Yes No temperature, chain-of-custody forms complete, and all samples analyzed within holding times? Comments Yes No 16a. Were chain-of-custody forms complete?

16b. Were samples received in good condition, preserved, and at appropriate temperature (VOA no headspace, appropriate pH, temperature 4° C +/- 2° for most samples)?	Yes	No C	Comments
16c. Were the samples analyzed within method-specified or technical holding times?	Yes	No	Comments
17. Were all laboratory quality control procedures complied with and is data validated without qualifiers?	Yes	No	See Below Comments
17a. Were all calibration verification results within acceptable limits?	Yes	No	Comments
17b. Were laboratory (method) blank samples free of contamination?	Yes	No C	Comments
17c. Are the percent recoveries and relative percent differences of matrix spike and matrix spike duplicates within quality control limits?	Yes	No	Comments
17d. Are the laboratory control samples the same matrix as the samples and prepared the same as associated samples?	Yes	No C	Comments
17e. Were laboratory control samples and laboratory control sample duplicate percent recoveries and relative percent differences within laboratory control limits?	Yes	No	Comments
17f. Were surrogate recoveries within laboratory quality control limits?	Yes	No	Comments
17g. Were the laboratory duplicate relative percent differences within data validation quality control limits?	Yes	No	Comments
18. Were the total number of lab method blanks at least 5% of the total number of samples, or as required by the method?	Yes	No	Comments
19. Were the total number of lab matrix spike samples prepared at least 5% of the total number of samples, or as required by the method?	Yes	N _o	Comments
20. Please list any project samples	used fo	or matrix s	spike/matrix spike duplicates.
Add Sample Lab ID		Field	d Sample ID Comments
Delete Sample			

21. Is the total number of	Yes	No		Comments					
laboratory control samples at least 5% of the total number of									
samples?		*							
Consultant/Validator Questions View example (Note: example optimized for viewing in Chrome									
22. Are the detection limits	Yes	No		Comments					
appropriate for the project (i.e. at		\circ							
or below screening levels)?									
23. Are the reported units appropriate for the sample matrix	Yes	No		Comments					
(i.e. water results in ug/L, not mg/									
kg)?									
24. Do the analytical methods	Yes	No		Comments					
comply with project requirements									
(e.g. in the SAP, work plan, or QAPP)?									
25. Do the laboratory reports									
include all constituents requested	Yes	No		Comments					
to be analyzed on the chain-of-									
custody or under the sampling									
plan or other applicable document?									
26. Is the number of sample	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
blanks (e.g. equipment, trip, or	Yes	No		Comments					
field blanks) equal to at least 10%	\mathbf{O}	\mathbf{O}							
of the total number of samples, or									
as otherwise required?									
27. Are field blanks free from									
contamination, duplicates collected as required, and field	Yes	No	See Below	Comments					
duplicate percent differences									
within data validation quality	The same of the sa	· -							
control limits?									
27a. Were all blank samples free	Yes	No		Comments					
of analyte contamination?									
071- \\\	Yes	No		Comments					
27b. Were field duplicates collected as required?		Ö		Comments					
conected as required:			and the side of th						
27c. Are field duplicate relative	Yes	No		Comments					
percent differences within data	\mathbf{C}								
validation quality control limits?	\ (C) 4 (I)	DE0		" 00) " 1 " 1					
levaluated in this summary and lists				a e-mail or CD) that lists all samples					
Please use the following format:	s arry que	iiiieu ue	ita.						
SERVED A MICHEL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE									
Lab ID Fiel	I Sample ID		Qualifiers	Comments (indicate whether the issue biases the results high or low)					
				biases the results high or low)					
Example 48310-2.31E	Example GW-1		R	Sample dropped in lab and unrecoverable					
Example 48310-2.32D									
		1	FPΔ's National Fu	nctional Guidelines for more information on					
qualifiers for unique samples suc	h as diny	ins	/						

	Qualifier			Explanation						
	С	Pesticide and Arochlor results confirmed with GC/MS								
	J-		Estimated value, may be biased low							
	J		Analyte ide	entified, but concentra	tion is estimated					
	J+		Estim	nated value, may be b	piased high					
	NJ		Te	ntatively identified co	mpound					
-	R			Sample result reject						
	U		above quantitation limit							
	UJ				CRQL may be inaccurate					
	Х	Pest	icide and Arochlor	results attempted usi	ng GC/MS, but unsuccessful					
If you wish	to manually enter q	ualified	d sample results, p	lease use the table be	elow.					
Add Sample	e Lab ID	Lab ID		Qualifiers	Comments (indicate whether the issue biases the results high or low)					
Delete Sampl	le									
29 What is th	29. What is the percent			Comments						
completenes	s (samples planned samples collected)?									
30. Was the met?	completeness goal	Y	es No		Comments					
31. Does all data conform to			es No		Comments	Manager 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
analytical methods and data quality objectives specified for this project?		is								
32. Other ge	neral comments or o	bserv	ations?							
	nles									
Split Sam	pies									
1 1	collect split sample	s? (es No		Comments					

Explanation

Qualifier